



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/523,776	03/11/2000	Pamela L. Zeitlin	49632	5882

7590

12/31/2002

Dike Bronstein Roberts & Cushman LLP
130 Water Street
Boston, MA 02109

EXAMINER

WANG, SHENGJUN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1617

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/523,776

Applicant(s)

ZEITLIN ET AL.

Examiner

Shengjun Wang

Art Unit

1617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 October 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13, 14, 17-21 and 35-41 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 12, 15, 16, 22-34 and 42-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted October 7, 2002 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-10, 12, 14-15, 22-34 and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herron (US Patent 4,764,521) in view of Rubenstein et al (IDS, CJ) and Welchter et al. (U.S. Patent 5,981,592).

3. Herron teaches generally that substituted aryl carboxylic acids, including substituted 4-phenyl-3-butenic acid are known to be useful for treating respiratory disease such as cystic fibrosis. See, the abstract, columns 1-4, column 12, lines 5, column 17, lines 50-52.

4. Herron does not teach expressly the employment of unsubstituted aryl carboxylic acid, e.g., 4-phenyl-trans-3-butenic acid for treatment of cystic fibrosis.

5. However, Rubenstein et al. teaches unsubstituted aryl carboxylic acid, 4-phenylbutyric acid is also known to be useful for treatment of cystic fibrosis. See, particularly, the abstract. Welchter et al. further teaches that a variety of aryl carboxylic acids are known to be useful for treatment of cystic fibrosis. See, the abstract, columns 6-7.

Art Unit: 1617

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to employ 4-phenyl-trans-3-butenic acid for treating cystic fibrosis.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ 4-phenyl-trans-3-butenic acid for treating cystic fibrosis because aryl carboxylic acids, with substituent or without substituent on the aryl ring, and wherein the carboxyl group attached to the aryl group through either alkyl or alkenyl, are generally known to be useful for treating cystic fibrosis. The instant compound differing from the prior art compound only in the substituent on the aryl ring, or the double bond at the linker between the aryl and carboxylic group, would have been reasonably expected to be similarly useful for treating cystic fibrosis, absent evidence to the contrary. Regarding claim 22-23, note selecting and/or optimizing a administering method of a pharmaceutical agent is considered within the skill of artisan.

Applicant's amendments and remarks submitted October 7, 2002 have been fully considered. The amendments and remarks are persuasive in overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, but are not persuasive as to the rejection set forth above for reasons discussed below.

Applicants are in error in asserting that Herron does not teach carboxycyclic aryl compound with an unsaturated carbon atom. Note in the general formula I defined in column 1-4, Y-Z may represent a saturated or unsaturated carbon bond. (see column 1, lines 47-60). Herron particularly give a example of aryl carboxylic acid with unsaturated carbon atoms, 4-(2-propyl-3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3-butenic acid. (see column 12, line 5). Therefore One of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonably expected that 4-phenyl-3-butenic acid be similarly useful for treating cystic fibrosis as 4-phenylbutyric acid, or the substituted 4-phenyl-3-butenic acid

Art Unit: 1617

since it is known that both saturated and unsaturated aryl acids are similarly useful for treating cystic fibrosis.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).


Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.


RUSSELL TRAVERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200

Application/Control Number: 09/523,776
Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

Examiner



Shengjun Wang

December 18, 2002



RUSSELL TRAVERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200